

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/664,643	09/19/2000	Koichi Miyasaka	93198-000157	4946	
7590 09/13/2005			EXAMINER		
Harness Dickey & Pierce P L C			NORRIS, JEREMY C		
P O Box 828	1.57 40000		ARTIBUT	DANED MIR COED	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841		

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/664,643	MIYASAKA, KOICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeremy C. Norris	2841	

	Jeremy C. Norris	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explanation of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-17</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	latica of Annual will r	est he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper.	No(s) CLINE					
13.	SUPERVISOR	NAND GUNDO RY PATENT EXAMINE OGY CENTER 2800	R				
	11 /	1 / 1					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050909

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants allege that Hitachi (JP 08211398) in figure 4 "fails to disclose gaps in adjacent dummy pattern rows, equivalent to the gaps recited in Claims 7 and 8, which include, for example, the unaligned gaps 11h of Figure 2". However, in figure 4 of Hitachi, there exists a first row of dummy patterns (8D) having a first set of gaps therebetween and second row of dummy conductors (39) having a second set of gaps therebetween. This second set of gaps is shifted from and unaligned with the first set of gaps. Thus it is deemed that this limitation along with the other claimed features is indeed disclosed by Hitachi. Hence the traversal on this ground is unpersuasive.